



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/782,215	02/19/2004	James A. McClain	030900	5338
41835	7590 11/02/2004		EXAMINER	
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART LLP HENRY W. OLIVER BUILDING			WARD, PAUL V	
	535 SMITHFIELD STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PITTSBURG, PA 15222			1623	
			DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ammiliantian Na	Anningston			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/782,215	MCCLAIN, JAMES A.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		PAUL V WARD	1623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM						
- Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•				
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachmen	r(c)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) 🔲 Notic	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/782,215

Art Unit: 1623

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, second paragraph

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 24, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "about the reaction temperature" in the claims is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "about the reaction temperature" is not defined by the claim, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claim 13 recites the limitation "the moisture content". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim 14 is indefinite because it is unclear whether the moisture content is reduced between 2-6% when the moisture content was originally 2-6%.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohkuma et al. (U.S. Patent 5,358,729).

Application/Control Number: 10/782,215

Art Unit: 1623

Applicant claims a method for producing resistant starch by (1) selecting a reaction temperature, (2) acidifying the starch, (3) heating the acidified starch to the reaction temperature, and (4) maintaining the acidified starch close to the reaction temperature to maintain a "whiteness" level. Applicant further limits the method by using a starch having a moisture content between 2-6%, by using HCI to acidify the starch to a pH between 1-4, having a 60% recovery of the resistant starch, having a reaction temperature between 140-160 C, and having a whiteness level between 50-100.

Ohkuma teaches a method for producing a resistance starch by (1) selecting a reaction temperature, (2) acidifying the starch, (3) heating the acidified starch to the reaction temperature, and (4) maintaining the acidified starch close to the reaction temperature to avoid coloring. (See Abstract and col. 1, lines 6-10). In column 6, line 41, Ohkuma teaches that HCl is used to acidify the starch. Additionally, in column 23, line 37, Ohkuma emphasizes that the whiteness decreased in inverse proportion to the heating temperature or heating time, and figures 2 and 3 demonstrate, from a comparative analysis, that the degree of coloration at pH 4.5 is lower than the degree in a reaction at pH 6.5. Further, in Table 13, Ohkuma discloses a whiteness level ranging from 12.3 to 66. (See also Example 4). Still further, Ohkuma states, in column 6, line 66, that the reaction temperature is 120-200 C, and "more preferably 140-180 C", and in Example 5, column 31, Ohkuma employs a starch having a moisture content of 5%. Moreover, Ohkuma teaches that the resistant starch recovered is in an increased amount of at least 60%. (See column 5, line 7-10).

Art Unit: 1623

It is noted that applicants are claiming a product by a process in Claim 27. The process of making has no patentable import on the product as claimed. Products are treated on the merits of the products themselves, and not on the process of making the same. There is not seen to be any patentable difference between the product as claimed in the instant application, and the products of the prior art. See <u>In re Thorpe</u>, 227 U.S.P.Q. 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1965).

Since Ohkuma teaches the same method for producing resistant starch,
Applicant claims are anticipated, and thus, rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b).

Conclusion

Claims 1-27 are pending. Claims 1-27 are rejected. No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL V WARD whose telephone number is 571-272-2909. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 am to 4 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James O Wilson can be reached on 571-272-0661. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

pvw

SUBSERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600